CHEMICAL HERITAGE FOUNDATION

THE TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT: FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF E. DONALD ELLIOTT

Transcript of Interviews
Conducted by

Jody A. Roberts and Kavita D. Hardy

at

Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP Washington, D.C.

on

22 January 2010

(With Subsequent Corrections and Additions)

CHEMICAL HERITAGE FOUNDATION Center for Oral History FINAL RELEASE FORM

This document contains my understanding and agreement with the Chemical Heritage Foundation with respect to my participation in the audio- and/or video-recorded interview conducted by Jody Roberts and Kavita Hardy on 22 January 2010 I have read the transcript supplied by the Chemical Heritage Foundation.

- 1. The recordings, transcripts, photographs, research materials, and memorabilia (collectively called the "Work") will be maintained by the Chemical Heritage Foundation and made available in accordance with general policies for research and other scholarly purposes.
- 2. I hereby grant, assign, and transfer to the Chemical Heritage Foundation all right, title, and interest in the Work, including the literary rights and the copyright, except that I shall retain the right to copy, use, and publish the Work in part or in full until my death.
- 3. The manuscript may be read and the recording(s) heard/viewed by scholars approved by the Chemical Heritage Foundation unless restrictions are placed on the transcript as listed below.

This constitutes my entire and complete understanding.

(Signature)	20 Elliott	
	E. Donald Elliot 🛨	
(Date) _	Jan. 19, 2016	

OPTIONAL: I wish to place the following restrictions on the use of this interview:

I understand that regardless of any restrictions that may be placed on the transcript of the interview, the Chemical Heritage Foundation retains the rights to all materials generated about my oral history interview and will make the title page, abstract, table of contents, chronology, index, et cetera (collectively called the "Front Matter and Index") available on the Chemical Heritage Foundation's website. Should the Chemical Heritage Foundation wish to post to the Internet the content of the oral history interview, that is, direct quotations, audio clips, video clips, or other material from the oral history recordings or the transcription of the recordings, the Chemical Heritage Foundation will be bound by the restrictions for use placed on the Work as detailed above. Should the Chemical Heritage Foundation wish to post to the Internet the entire oral history interview during my lifetime, I will have the opportunity to permit or deny this posting.

I understand that the Chemical Heritage Foundation will enforce my wishes until the time of my death, when any restrictions will be removed.

This oral history is designated **Free Access**.

Please note: Users citing this interview for purposes of publication are obliged under the terms of the Chemical Heritage Foundation (CHF) Center for Oral History to credit CHF using the format below:

E. Donald Elliot, interview with Jody A. Roberts and Kavita D. Hardy at Willkie Farr and Gallagher LLP, Washington, DC, 22 January 2010. (Philadelphia: Chemical Heritage Foundation, Oral History Transcript # 0686).



Chemical Heritage Foundation Center for Oral History 315 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106



The Chemical Heritage Foundation (CHF) serves the community of the chemical and molecular sciences, and the wider public, by treasuring the past, educating the present, and inspiring the future. CHF maintains a world-class collection of materials that document the history and heritage of the chemical and molecular sciences, technologies, and industries; encourages research in CHF collections; and carries out a program of outreach and interpretation in order to advance an understanding of the role of the chemical and molecular sciences, technologies, and industries in shaping society.

E. DONALD ELLIOTT

1948	Born in Chicago, Illinois on 4 April	
<u>Education</u>		
1970	B.A., summa cum laude, Phil Beta Kappa, Department Honors with Exceptional Distinction, Yale University	
1974	J.D., Yale University	
Professional Experience		
1974-1975	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, Washington, D.C. Law Clerk to Gerhard Gesell	
	U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, Washington, D.C.	
1975-1976	Law Clerk to David L. Bazelon, Chief Judge	
	Leva, Hawes, Symington, Martin & Oppenheimer LLP, Washington, D.C.	
1976-1980	Associate	
	Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut	
1981-1992	Professor of Law	
1992-1993	Julien and Virginia Cornell Chair in Environmental Law	
1993-present	and Litigation Professor (Adjunct) of Law	
1985-1989	General Electric Company, Fairfield, Connecticut Special Litigation Counsel, Corporate Environmental Programs	
1985-1986	University of Chicago, Chicago, IL Visiting Professor of Law	
1986-1987 2001-2009	Georgetown University, Washington, D.C. Visiting Professor of Law Adjunct Professor of Law	
1989-1991	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. General Counsel	

1991-1996	Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP, Washington, D.C. Partner and head of the Environmental and Product Safety Department	
1996-2003	Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, Washington, D.C. Partner and co-chair of the national environmental practice group	
2003-2013	Willkie, Farr & Gallagher LLP, Washington, D.C. Partner and chair of the Environment, Health and Safety Department	
2014-present	Covington & Burling LLP, Washington, D.C. Senior of Counsel & Co-chair, Environmental Practice Group	
<u>Honors</u>		
2003-2009	Board of Environmental Studies and Toxicology, National Academy of Sciences Fellow, American College of Environmental Laws Senior Fellow, Administrative Conference of the United States Boards, Environmental Law Institute and Center for Clean Air Policy	

ABSTRACT

E. Donald Elliott obtained his bachelor's degree and his law degree from Yale University, where he now teaches. He clerked for Judge Gerhard Gesell and Chief Judge David Bazelon, both of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; and served as General Counsel for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Since leaving the Agency he has been in private practice, specializing in environmental law.

Elliott begins his interview by emphasizing that confidentiality about legal matters during his tenure at the EPA. He then discusses the beginnings of Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and its relation to Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. He concentrates on concepts of risk and prevention, explaining their changing interplay over the years. He describes what he wishes the EPA's role could be and what it is, decrying especially the "disaster" of the failure of the EPA to regulate asbestos. Noting especially a case involving a judgment against Corrosion Proof Fittings. Elliott believes that the major reason for failure in this major public health initiative was the conservative interpretation of the law. He also believes that this decision detracted power from Section 6 of TSCA.

According to Elliott, instead of using Europe's "precautionary principle," the EPA must now show strong evidence of harm in all areas; that is, regulation must now hinge on risk assessment, not on prevention of harm. Other attempts to use Section 6 also have not succeeded. In *Ethyl Corporation v. EPA*, Judge James Wright established the precaution principle but was reversed by the Supreme Court, which held that hazards are a matter of fact, not policy; furthermore, this decision removed "deference" to the EPA that previously had been assumed, thus establishing "hybrid rulemaking" that made Section 6 much harder to implement.

In general, Elliott believes that the EPA is responsible for maintaining public health and should use police power to regulate in order to prevent harm from pollution. He prefers the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemical substances (REACH) approach in Europe, maintaining that Americans do not trust government as much as Europeans do. He affirms Judge Harold Leventhal's dictum that regulation should balance the risk of false negatives with the risk of false positives. Using the standard of "reasonable assurance of no harm" works for food quality but not for hazardous materials; in cases involving such materials overregulation is preferred. From his perspective, the Clean Air Act and Superfund are EPA's finest achievements. Elliott has spent his career trying to "mesh" science and the law.

INTERVIEWERS

Jody A. Roberts is the Associate Director for the Center for Contemporary History and Policy and the Manager of the Environmental History and Policy Program at the Chemical Heritage Foundation. Roberts received his Ph.D. and M.S. in Science and Technology Studies from Virginia Tech and holds a B.S. in Chemistry from Saint Vincent College. His research focuses on the intersections of regulation, innovation, environmental issues, and emerging technologies within the chemical sciences.

Kavita D. Hardy is a research assistant in the Environmental History and Policy Program at the Chemical Heritage Foundation. She received a B.A. in Chemistry and Economics from Swarthmore College.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Early Years of Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)

Elliott's early career suit against Donald Clay and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for not regulating asbestos in public schools. Asbestos guidelines established as result. *Corrosion Proof Fittings v. EPA* limited power of TSCA. Ernie Rosenberg. Section 6 as lever to get negotiation. Confidentiality required of Elliott, who was general counsel. William Reilly and Terry Davies drafted TSCA about 1970. Roger Strelow and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) first invoked pollution prevention, now called green chemistry. Paul Anastes, "Father of Green Chemistry." F. Henry Habicht and concepts of risk; risk assessment; market-based systems; internationalism; pollution prevention. Ten years of work on asbestos abatement regulation as major public health initiative; catastrophic failure. Reasons for failure. Fraudulent injury claims. Scientific Advisory Board to reevaluate, using risk as metric across programs. Asbestos Ban and Phaseout Rule a test of EPA's ability to prevent pollution.

Attempting to Expand TSCA's Scope

10

1

"Precaution principle" used in Europe. High burden or proof is required. More articles about asbestos than about anything else except ionizing radiation. Regulation struck down, hence public health "disaster." Voluntary industry agreements have led to no more asbestos. Asbestos as test case. Section 6 rendered much more difficult to use. Edward Warren and Gary Marchant, opponents' lawyers, "best environmental lawyers for industry." Argument hinged on risk assessment, not prevention. Result requires least drastic remedy.

Fallout for Future of TSCA

14

Section 6 cannot be used for cross-media purposes. Turning away from prevention began with benzene and cotton dust cases in Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). *Ethyl Corporation v. EPA* established precaution approach. Hazards to be defined by "delegated decisions of legislative policy." Judge James Skelly Wright. Supreme Court held that hazards are matter of fact, not policy. Differences among Circuits, especially Fifth, Eleventh, and District of Columbia. Policy judgments crucial; theory abandoned in favor of hybrid rulemaking after *Ethyl*. EPA should have appealed *Corrosion*, as asbestos has no thresholds. Twenty years of OSHA, seven regulations; but number of regulations not important if change in behavior effected. Michael Shapiro: Ten percent of resources used to determine course of action, ninety percent to prepare for court. Courts should balance fact and policy but assume conscientious honesty of scientists and defer to EPA. Judge Harold Leventhal and *International Harvester Company v. Ruckelshaus*: must balance risks of false positives and false negatives.

Using "reasonable assurance of no harm" as standard in Food Quality Protection Act okay, but not for hazardous materials. Judge David Bazelon. *Corrosion* case about the most comprehensive evidence Agency could provide; Judge Smith's decision wrong. One hundred thousand pages of documents, 10,000 documents testifying to harmfulness of asbestos. Justice Benjamin Cardozo's belief that judges must be given flexibility compared with Judge Smith's belief that law must be strictly defined. Circuit hunting. Wants all TSCA cases tried only in District of Columbia Circuit. Blanket authority for EPA. Kepone and James River. Industry and TSCA. Environmentalists, EPA, and industry compromising on regulation: everyone goes away a little unhappy (Judge Gerhard Gesell's dictum). Elliott has spent career trying to mesh science and law. Bazelon, Wright, and Leventhal willing to err on overregulation side. Toxicologists, including Elliott's wife, disagree about science of secondhand smoke, but he thinks regulating it good anyway. Clean Air Act and Superfund best successes of EPA.

Index 24

Index

A

Ackerman, Bruce A., 19
Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 14
Agent Orange, 13
American Chemistry Council, 18
Anastas, Paul T., 3
Arizona State University, 7
asbestos, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 16, 17, 18, 22

В

Bazelon, Judge David L., 8, 14, 16, 21 Bickel, Alexander M., 9 Bork, Robert H., 8 Brodeur, Paul Y., 4 Bush, President George H. W., 9

C

Cannon, Jonathan Z., 8
Cardozo, Justice Benjamin N., 17
Charnley, Gail, 10, 16, 21, 22
Charnley, Gail (wife), 10
Clay, Donald R., 2, 22
Clean Air Act, 18, 22
Clinton, President William J., 9
Corrosion Proof Fittings v. EPA, 1, 2, 3, 10, 12, 14, 16, 21

D

D.C. Circuit. See U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit
Davies, J. Clarence, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 22
Dingell, Representative John D., Jr., 15
Dooley, Calvin M., 18

\mathbf{E}

Eban, Deputy Prime Minister Abba, 19 Environmental Defense Fund, 2, 6 Environmental Law Reporter, 10 Environmental Working Group, 19 Ethyl Corporation v. EPA, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14 European Union, 5, 9, 11, 12, 13, 22

F

Federal Constitutional Court of Germany, 12 Federal-Mogul Corporation, 2 Fifth Circuit. *See* U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit Fisher, Linda J., 2, 6, 22 Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA), 16 Frandsen, Richard A., 1, 15

G

General Motors Corporation, 15 Georgetown University Law Center, 2 Gesell, Judge Gerhard A., 20 Getting to Yes, 20 Graham, John D., 17 Greenpeace, 19

Η

Habicht, F. Henry "Hank", II, 3, 4, 22 Harvard University, 12, 20 Honda Motor Company, Ltd., 15 Houston, Texas, 7

I

in re Silica Products Liability Litigation, 4
Indiana University School of Environment and Public Affairs, 17
International Harvester Co. v. Ruckelshaus, 15, 21

J

Jack, U.S. District Court Judge JanisGraham, 4James River, Virginia, 18

K

kepone, 18

Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 7

L

Leventhal, Judge Harold, 15, 21

\mathbf{M}

Marchant, Gary E., 7, 16 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 16 Montana, 7

N

Nelson, James C., 5, 10 NYU Environmental Law Journal, 19

O

Obama, President Barack H., 9
Occupational Safety and Health
Administration (OSHA), 8, 9, 18
OIRA. See U.S. Office of Management and
Budget:Office of Information and
Regulatory Affairs (OIRA)
OSHA. See Occupational Safety and Health
Administration (OSHA)
Outrageous Misconduct
The Asbestos Industry on Trial, 4

P

Patton, Vickie L., 6, 20 President's Council on Environmental Quality, 2

R

Rachel's Network, 19
Raiffa, Howard A., 20
RCRA. See Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)
REACH. See Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemical substances (REACH)
Reality of Precaution, The, 2, 11
Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemical substances (REACH), 11

Reilly, William K., 2, 3, 4, 22
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 2
Resources for the Future, 11
Rosenberg, Ernie, 1, 12, 22
Ruckelshaus, William D., 15

S

Sagan, Carl E., 15
Shapiro, Michael H., 14
Smith, Jerry E., 2, 7, 10, 16, 17, 22
Soap and Detergent Association, 1
Socrates, 16
Stockholm Accords. See Stockholm
Convention on Persistent Organic
Pollutants
Stockholm Convention on Persistent
Organic Pollutants, 15
Strelow, William Roger, 2
Superfund. See U.S. Environmental
Protection Agency (EPA):Office of Solid
Waste and Emergency Response
(OSWER)

T

Towards a Theory of Statutory Evolution
The Case of the Clean Air Act, 19
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20
pollution prevention, 3, 4, 5, 8
precautionary regulation, 5, 7, 8, 10
risk assessment, 3, 4, 7, 21
Section 6, 1, 3, 6, 10, 11, 12, 15, 17

U

U.S. Congress, 1, 2, 6, 8, 15, 18, 19
U.S. Constitution, 13
U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, 7, 8, 9, 17, 18
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, 9, 10
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 14, 18

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22 Asbestos Ban and Phaseout Rule, 5 Office of Policy, Planning, and Evaluation, 3 Office of Research and Development, 3 Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER), 14, 22 Office of Water, 14 Science Advisory Board, 4 U.S. Office of Management and Budget Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), 17 U.S. Supreme Court, 7, 8, 9, 14 **Unfinished Business** A Comparative Assessment of

Environmental Problems, 4

United States of America, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 22 University of Chicago, 19 University of Virginia, 8

W

Warren, Edward W., 7
Washington, D.C., 1, 4, 11
Weiner, Jonathan B., 11
Weinstein, Jack B., 13
Whitman v. American Trucking Association,
Inc., 7
World War II, 13
Wright, Judge James Skelly, 9, 14, 21

Y

Yale Law School, 1, 2 Yale University, 3